

Akraino Technical Steering Committee (TSC) Meeting

10/29/2019 (7:00am - 8:00am PT)

via Zoom

TSC Voting Members Attendance:

SL No.	Member Company	Voting Member	Present
1	AT&T	Kandan Kathirvel	x
2	Nokia	Tapio Tallgren	x
3	Ericsson	Andrew Wilkinson	x
4	Arm	Tina Tsou	x
5	Intel	Srini Addepalli	x
6	RedHat	Frank Zdarsky	
7	Juniper Networks	Sukhdev Kapur	x
8	Baidu	Henchun Zhang	
9	Didi US Labs	Ken Yi	x
10	Tencent	Mark Shan	
11	Wind River	Dariusz Eslimi	
12	MobileEdgeX	Vikram Siwach	
13	InwinStack	Thor Chin	
14	Vmware	Xinhuili	x
15	Huawei	Khemendra Kumar	x

Resources:

- [Public Mail Lists](#)
- [Akraino Wiki](#)
- [Akraino TSC Wiki](#)
- [Akraino TSC Group Calendar](#)

Meeting Notes:

- All meeting content posted to [Akraino TSC Wiki](#)
- Minutes should include:
 - Attendance and quorum check
 - Top level actions/decisions
 - Links to any relevant content

Agenda Items

- New TSC Member Introductions
- Andrew Wilkinson Action Item Follow-Up
- Ken Yi Action Item Follow-Up
- PTL Update
- 2020 Goals
- Finalize Budget
- Akraino MEC Hackathon Update
 - <https://wiki.akraino.org/pages/viewpage.action?pagelD=11996594>

Meeting Updates and Action Items

- All members of the newly constituted TSC provided a brief introduction about themselves and their involvement in Akraino.
- Andrew Wilkinson clarified that blueprint labs operating as a slave to Master Jenkins is not a requirement for blueprints. There are free to configure Jenkins as they wish as long as logs are available in LF Nexus. Andrew has updated WiKi with these clarifications.
<https://wiki.akraino.org/display/AK/Release+2+Planning>
- Ken Yi clarified security sub-committee requirements for R2; Ken and Tapio will work together such that run-time security tests can be integrated in the BluVal framework; he also responded to questions from Srini confirming SonarQube can scan code in Go language. The run-time scanner is language independent.
- Kandan mentioned that TSC needs to work on Goals for 2020 as required by the Board. A page has been created in WiKi to track goals being worked on by TSC, with the target to complete them by next month <https://wiki.akraino.org/display/AK/Goals+2020>.
- Akraino budget needs to be finalized. This should include maintenance costs of existing labs and hardware, cost to procure new hardware. Peter working with project owners to identify needs and consolidating inputs. Eric Ball will also be providing IT related costs. Lincoln has provided information on operating cost for the Community Lab. Blueprint owners and Feature project owners should communicate their lab, hardware and IT needs so that CI/Validation, Community Lab and LF IT budget can be finalized and submitted to LF Board for approval. Kandan mentioned that Validation Project UI will need additional budget for AWS hosting to support custom DB changes.
- Kandan mentioned that LF IT should prioritize support for R2 issues from blueprint owners, since R2 date is approaching. Eric was asked to support tagging for R2 priority which can be marked by blueprint owners
- PTLs provided their updates
 - Kural was looking for guidance on uploading logs in Nexus, documentation and review process for his blueprint

- Sukhdev was asking about readiness criteria and scheduling reviews for self-certification
 - Kandan explained the process to Blueprint owners and the rationale for completing their reviews by Oct 31st vs. R2 release date end of November
 - Andrew explained the process for scheduling review for “maturity”
 - Andrew explained the proper procedure with appropriate naming for uploading logs <https://nexus.akraino.org/#view-repositories;logs~browsestorage>
- Eric Ball brought up the issue of creating a consistent approach of listing committers for each blueprints, he indicated the need for a single committer group for each blueprint. Please see details in the email from Eric below. TSC discussed this, but more discussion is needed for members to understand the implications of these changes. TSC will review this further and bring it for vote to come up with an approved go forward plans

From: <tsc@lists.akraino.org> on behalf of Eric Ball <eball@linuxfoundation.org>

Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2019 at 10:53 AM

To: "tsc@lists.akraino.org" <tsc@lists.akraino.org>

Subject: [Akraino TSC] Committer rights: Blueprint vs repo level

Hello TSC!

We here at the LF are working on implementing an improvement to how committer rights are managed. We want to add INFO.yaml files to the repositories, which will allow the current committers on a repo to control access rights, without having to rely on the LF for the changes.

But to this end, we've noticed that rights management on projects within Akraino are not standardized. TA has individual committer groups for each repo, but they appear to all be the same (so they could be much more easily managed at the top level). On the other hand, KNI has individual lists for PAE and IE, plus a shared list. icn's committer list seems to be a subset of icn-daaas'. iec-xconnect inherits directly from iec.

What we'd like is for all blueprints/projects to share a single method for handling committer management. Our feeling is that handling committers at a higher level is the simplest implementation, and unless it's an absolute requirement to have different lists for each repo, the simpler implementation is preferable. This also seems to be how committers are usually designated in each blueprint's wiki entry.

What we would like to see is a discussion, and eventually a vote on this issue. We will need this finalized in order to implement the changes for the INFO.yaml files, so if it could be included in tomorrow or Tuesday's TSC meeting agenda that would be great. Prior to the meeting, any discussion in this thread would be greatly appreciated. What are your thoughts on how projects do committer management? Does anyone have a hard requirement for managing at the repo level?

Thanks for your time and thoughts on this matter!

Eric Ball
Release Engineer
The Linux Foundation