Meeting of the Technical Steering
Committee of the Akraino Edge Stack
Project

February 21, 2019



# TSC Voting Member Roll Call

Member Company	Voting Member Name	Contact info
Arm	Tina Tsou	tina.tsou@arm.com
AT&T	Kandan Kathirvel	kk0563@att.com
Dell	Tim Epkes	tim_epkes@dell.com
Ericsson	Torbjörn Keisu	torbjorn.keisu@ericsson.com
Huawei	Wenjing Chu	wenjing.chu@huawei.com
Intel	Jenny Koerv	jenny.koerv@intel.com
Inwinstack	Thor Chin	thor.c@inwinstack.com
Juniper	Sukhdev Kapur	sukhdev@juniper.net
Nokia	Tapio Tallgren	tapio.tallgren@nokia.com
NTT	Takeshi Kuwahara	kuwahara.takeshi@lab.ntt.co.jp
Qualcomm	Shahid Khan	shahidk@qti.qualcomm.com
Radisys	Prakash Siva	psiva@radisys.com
Red Hat	Frank Zdarsky	zdarsky@redhat.com
Seagate Technologies	Tim Walker	tim.t.walker@seagate.com
WindRiver	Jim Einarsson	jim.einarsson@windriver.com



### Agenda

- > Release 1 Planning Tina
- > ONS Demos Tina
- > Technical Community Document Changes Jim
- Micro-MEC Blueprint Update Tapio



# Release 1 Planning



#### **ONS Demos**



## Technical Community Document Changes



#### **Proposed Technical Community Document Updates**

#### Regarding Committers, PTLs & Project Incubation/Start

- indicate when Committers are to be added to a Feature Project during PTL elections, after which the project 'starts'
  - Section 3.2.2.1 Adding Committers
  - Initial Committers for a project will may be specified at project creation and up until PTL election. The
    project is not started until after the PTL election.
- indicate that a Project "starts" at the beginning of the Incubation state
  - Section 3.3.4 Project Lifecycle States and Reviews (the "Incubation" row)
  - Project has resources, but is recognized to be in the early stages of development. The project is
    considered to have started upon successful PTL election. The outcome is a minimum viable product
    (MVP) that demonstrates the value of the project and is a useful vehicle for collecting feedback, but is not
    expected to be used in production environments.

#### > Alpha/Beta/GA vs. Incubation/Mature/Core

Section 3.3.4 Project Lifecycle States and Reviews

Project State	Description
Proposal	Project doesn't really exist yet, may not have real resources, but is proposed and is expected to be created due to business needs.
Incubation	Project has resources, but is recognized to be in the early stages of development. In the Incubation state, the goal is to progress the project from Alpha (MVP) quality to Beta quality. The outcome is a minimum viable product (MVP) that demonstrates the value of the project and is a useful vehicle for collecting feedback, but is not expected to be used in production environments.
Mature	Project is fully functioning and stable, has achieved successful releases. In the Mature state, the goal is to progress the project from Beta quality to GA quality.
Core	Project provides value to and receives interest from a broad audience. In the Core state, the project is at GA quality – additional functionality may be added in subsequent releases.
Archived	Project can reach Archived state for multiple reasons. Either project has successfully been completed and its artifacts provide business values, or project has been cancelled for unforeseen reasons (no value anymore, technical, etc.).
	Project in any state can be Archived through a Termination Review.
	In the Archived state, the project is Deprecated – no further bug fixes, security updates, etc.



## Micro-MEC Blueprint Update

