

Meeting of the Technical Steering Committee of the Akraino Edge Stack Project

January 24, 2019



TSC Voting Member Roll Call

Member Company	Voting Member Name	Contact info
Arm	Tina Tsou	tina.tsou@arm.com
AT&T	Kandan Kathirvel	kk0563@att.com
Dell	Tim Epkes	tim_epkes@dell.com
Ericsson	Torbjörn Keisu	torbjorn.keisu@ericsson.com
Huawei	Wenjing Chu	wenjing.chu@huawei.com
Intel	Jenny Koerv	jenny.koerv@intel.com
Inwinstack	Thor Chin	thor.c@inwinstack.com
Juniper	Sukhdev Kapur	sukhdev@juniper.net
Nokia	Tapio Tallgren	tapio.tallgren@nokia.com
NTT	Takeshi Kuwahara	kuwahara.takeshi@lab.ntt.co.jp
Qualcomm	Jasmin Ajanovic	jasmin@qti.qualcomm.com
Radisys	Prakash Siva	psiva@radisys.com
Red Hat	Frank Zdarsky	zdarsky@redhat.com
Seagate Technologies	Tim Walker	tim.t.walker@seagate.com
WindRiver	Jim Einarsson	jim.einarsson@windriver.com

Agenda

- PTL Elections
- ONS Marketing Outreach
- Blueprint Validation Feature Project Review / Approval
- Process Sub-Committee Topics
- Open Discussion

PTL Election Update

- › There were 3 approved blueprint proposals that did not have a self-nominated PTL candidate by 1/17.
- › Each blueprint now has a single PTL nomination, which would only require an email from another initial committer to complete the PTL election

Blueprint	Committer Nominated for PTL
Network Cloud and Tungsten Fabric Integration	Sukhdev Kapur – Juniper
Industrial Edge	Yolanda Robla – Red Hat
Provider Access Edge	Yolanda Robla – Red Hat

Process Sub-Committee Update



Graduation Process

- > Graduation Splash Page
 - > simplified outline of Project Life Cycle and Graduation criteria
 - > a la CNCF ([link](#))
- > Graduation Criteria
 - > detailed language with specific objectives/criteria
- > Akraino Acceptance testing
 - > Does acceptance testing constitute a compliance test?
 - > Does that convey rights to Akraino branding?



Technical Community Document Updates

- > Formal Motion to vote on proposed revisions...
 - > Remove redundant Release Plan list in section 3.3.8.1 of the Technical Community Document
 - > Update 3.3.2.3.1 to specify labs to be used for feature project and blueprint testing
- > Proposed Maturity Review revisions (3.3.7.2)...
 - Successful participation in *at least two* releases: The project demonstrates stable output (code base, documents) within its history of releases in accordance with the release policy.
 - Architecture has been reviewed by the ~~Architecture Committee~~ *CI/CD Sub-Committee, TSC and presented to broader Akraino community*
 - Project Contributors have provided a validation lab with exact configuration required by the project to connect with Akraino CI and demonstrate CD. The environment should be reviewed and endorsed by the CI/CD Sub-Committee.*
 - Project is active and contributes to Akraino: The project demonstrates ~~a stable~~ ~~or~~ an increasing *number of commits and/or* contributions across recent releases measurable by TSC discretion. Contributions are commits ~~which get that have been~~ merged to ~~a repository of~~ an Akraino project *repository* or a related upstream project. Commit examples are ~~can for example~~ be patches to update the requirements document of a project, code addition to an Akraino or upstream project repository, new test cases and so forth.
 - Mature artifacts produced: The project demonstrates that the artifacts produced by the project are deployable (where applicable) and have been successfully deployed, configured and used *by at least two independent end users (typically, service providers) who have publicly documented their support on the Akraino wiki and within the accompanying project documentation.*



More on the Maturity Review

- > TSC to consider these for Maturity Review criteria...
 - > LF CII Best Practices Badge Program ([link](#))
 - > Contributor Code of Conduct ([link](#))

For More Information, Please
Visit www.akraino.org

